

**From:** [Donna Mackiewicz](#)  
**To:** [Eddie Crandell](#); [Bruno Sabatier](#); [Brad Rasmussen](#); [Jessica Pyska](#); [Helen Owen](#); [Lake County Clerk of the Board](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Today's Item 6.9 rezone  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 14, 2026 7:13:58 AM

---

I'd like to offer a comment on Item 6.9. These are my personal reflections not reflection of any committee or organization I am a part of.

After reviewing the materials, I don't believe there is any error in the current zoning, and I do not support amending the zoning map at this time. The existing zoning appears intentional, and these parcels are not out of compliance.

If this is being labeled as an "error," then it raises a much bigger concern. It's highly likely there are numerous parcels across the county with similar inconsistencies. If that's the case, selectively correcting just these few parcels is arbitrary. A claim like that should trigger a comprehensive, countywide review—not a piecemeal change.

I also want to emphasize that the preservation of agricultural land is critically important. Once agricultural zoning is removed, it is very difficult to get it back, and these decisions should be approached very carefully.

This Board has recognized that importance before. As Supervisor Sabatier has previously emphasized in land use discussions, we should "remove [incompatible uses] from Rural Residential... and increase setbacks." That reflects a clear intent to protect agricultural and rural areas from incremental encroachment—and that same caution should apply here.

Policy T-3.6 is very clear: a rezoning in this area should be tied to a specific development proposal so it can be evaluated against established criteria. That requirement exists to protect the community's long-term vision.

Approving a rezone now, without a project, removes that safeguard. The staff report confirms there is no project associated with this request, which is a fundamental issue under that policy.

It also raises important questions: Is this what residents of the Local Area Plan actually want? And does it have the support of the Agricultural Commission? Those perspectives are essential—especially when agricultural land is involved.

While economic development is important, it does not require rezoning these parcels now. That should happen, if at all, when a real project is proposed that meets policy criteria and reflects community priorities.

For these reasons, please consider denial and preserve our agricultural parcels for a strong future.

Enjoy your day and thank you for your service.

Donna Mackiewicz, Clearlake Oaks